SAP GRC

UD

Governance Risk and Compliance

Building a better working world



- EY's Global Governance, Risk and Compliance Survey 2015
- Governance, Risk and Compliance Challenges
- SAP GRC Solutions
- ► An example

EY's Global Governance Risk and Compliance Survey 2015



Looking at Risk Differently

We believe that regardless of how they are organized, it is beneficial to consider risks in the context of your business and how best to respond to those risks

In this year's survey, we asked 1,196 participants, around the globe and across sectors, how well they are managing risk and what they need to do to **better manage** the risks that **drive performance**.

In this year's survey, we found that organizations are making progress in improving the way they manage risk in response to a changing risk landscape.

However, organizations also indicated that there is still further room for improvement and opportunities to be seized. However, this requires businesses to **change the way they work** and **how they capitalize** on it

- Organizations have primarily focused on risks that can be managed through the implementation of controls.
- Howwever, leading organizations are now focusing more of their time and efforts on managing the risks that impact value creation.

Our global GRC survey tells that organizations are looking for a more comprehensive, coordinated and innovative approach. But this requires "building a risk-aware organization."

What Our Clients Telling Us

In 2015 GRC survey; risk strategy, coordination, internal audit, technology topics were focused to gain better understanding of how well organizations are managing risk

While organizations demonstrated they are making progress, they indicated that **further opportunities** exist to improve the way that they identify, manage and respond to risk.

Surve	y Findings	Implications	Survey Findings	Implications	
Top five risks1.Financial2.Operational3.Regulatory4.Cybersecurity5.Reputational	 Buttom five risks Geopolitical Natural disaster Data privacy R&D Merger & acq 	 While organizations have expanded their view of risk, they continue to primarily focus on preventable risks. Organizations that also focus on strategic and external risks are able to profit from the upside of risk. 	21% of respondents indicated risk activities are well-coordinated today; whereas 67% indicated they expect risk activities to be well-coordinated within three years.	 Organizations expect to see a significant improvement in the level of coordination of risk activities. 	
97% made progress in linking their risk management objectives and business objectives	but only 16% of the 97% consider them to be closely linked today	 Organizations have made a significant amount of progress in bridging the gap between risk management objectives and business objectives. However, greater opportunity exists for organizations to achieve stronger alignment. 	 Critical/analytical thinking Analytics Risk management Audit Business strategy 	 Businesses clearly recognize that their Internal Audit functions require the appropriate skills and experience. Organizations must appropriately develop and align talent with the requisite skill sets. 	
Risk involvement	90% but 90% expect to be directly involved or providing inputs within the next three years.	 Organizations recognize the value of directly involving risk management in business decision-making. Organizations that directly involve risk management are better able to identify, manage and respond to the risks that impact their business. 	GRC technology 46% 49% 5% 46% of respondents do not yet utilize a GRC technology, 49% utilize one or more technologies and 5% did not know.	 Many organizations adopt and leverage technology to better enable and sustain risk management activities. Organizations must view technology as a way to more efficiently and effectively execute, as well as sustain, their responses to risk. 	



Robust Risk Aware Organisation

Risk is a key part of strategic business planning

Risk is a key part of strategic business planning and top of mind of many boards today; however, the board's ability to provide oversight could be enhanced by more frequent evaluations of the organization's risk profile.



Building a Risk Aware Organisation

To build a risk aware organisation, a stepped approach to risk management is required:

Advance Strategic Thinking

- Identify and assess risks that impact business strategy
- Design risk response to reduce the downside and take of upside potential

Optimise Functions and Processes

- Optimally align functions to execute the organization's risk response plans/strategy
- Develop risk processes to facilitate better coordination, communication and reporting

Embedded Solutions

- Design solutions that prevent, balance or limit risk
- Implement technologies to effectively execute and sustain the solutions

The Governance Risk and Compliance Challenges



The burning platform

'pile-on' approach to add more controls for

every new requirement

Unprecedented focus on GRC post issues and the increasingly complex regulatory environment has put tremendous cost pressures on organizations.

Can't keep up – The pace at which technology and innovation is driving change in the business and regulatory landscape is #1 unprecedented. Chief compliance and area of focus for operating officers cannot keep up with **Board of directors** changing expectations and spiraling costs of of Fortune 100 compliance companies Work smarter, not harder – There is unprecedented focus to work smarter and of companies coordinate GRC efforts versus the traditional 60% expect cost of

\$200 billion

Cost of compliance in Fortune 500 companies

67% of companies have overlapping risk coverage in two or more risk functions

over the next 5 years "Managing the cost of compliance has

grown larger than

I've anticipated"

compliance to

significantly increase

How is it good business to let your cost of compliance outrun the business benefit? - Fortune 100 CFO

> Less than 15% of Fortune 200 companies have moderate to significant coordination in risk management activities

Based on EY Global Surveys, Thompson Reuter Cost of Compliance survey 2014, and EY insights through industry roundtables and networking forums

SAP GRC Solutions



SAP Governance, Risk and Compliance (GRC) Overview



Critical Considerations for Implementation

GRC integrates process, people and technology

Definition of GRC road map and consideration of prior work / requirements before implementing the tool **Defining the** roadmap (role design, controls improvement, improvement of risk management function) GRC Projects are not technology projects but rather • **Business** involvement business projects Providing the right content to the tool is key for • Content **SUCCESS** Governance model is critical for the sustainability of Governance the solution

GRC roadmap

Integrates process, people and technology



Content SAP GRC Access Control – Rule Set



	Plan	Desig	in 🔶	Build		Test	Final Prep	oara	tion Post Go	-Li∖
d	SOD / SA risks esigned in RACM	+	Review S delivered rules	GRC -	ł	Review of custom SAP transactions	+		Relevant SAP Industry Solutio transactions	
i.	Team identified SoD Sensitive Access (S risks in Risk & Cont Matrix	6 A)	and Identif	and Identify gaps at Risk level and transaction level Identify false positives ii. Identi		Review custom transactions to risk exposure f compliance / fra	to identify e for		Include SAP Industry Solutio for Insurance specific	ry Solution urance ic
	Risks transition to C AC team for build		ii. Identify fal			ii. Identify applicable risk groups and ratings		ii.	transactions Obtain process owner sign-off	
	Evaluate Risk Rank	ing								
				In	√ ncludes:					
			GRC Global Bulacat		 SOD and SA Risks Functions and Transactions Custom Transactions Authorization checks 					
	Ruleset Utilize for: • Role and User Analysis • Remediation and Mitigation									

Governance SAP GRC Access Control – Governance Structure





Business involvement

Risk management data objects and their relationships



Project Examples



SAP AC Re-implementation

An holding company with many multinational operations in Consumer Products and Mill Products industries

The Client was struggling to use SAP GRC AC since the results in the reports were overwhelming, contain too much irrelevant data, and are reporting false positives. Also ARM approvers couldn't understand the access risks and access requests were approved unconsciously. So, the group decided to re-implement AC with proper content and methodology: The result is announced as **20% reduction** in access management operational costs, increased compliance and IT satisfaction results.

Previous State		Current State	
Too many rules	216 SoD rules were defined, company was getting run-time errors while running GRC ARM	Necessary rules	 Only real risks are defined as SoD or SA risks Total number of rules are 34.
Complex role structure	 Position based roles with wide access, no standardization Many unused transactions 	Simple and sustainable role structure	 Task based roles based on functions Standard, adaptable, easy to monitor Sustainable
No mitigating controls	Mitigating controls were perceived as «no risk»	Relevant mitigating controls	Relevant mitigating controls were defined to mitigate SoD and SA risks and risk owners are trained to assign proper controls
The responsibility was on IT	The governance model was not defined including role and risk owners.	The responsibility in on Business	 Proper governance structure were defined Business owners take the responsibility and accountability with clearly defined roles
No use	 The tool was not accepted by the users There were many work-around 	In use	The toll is used company wide with immediate effect on costs and user satisfaction

SAP RM Implementation

An holding company with many multinational operations in Consumer Products and Mill Products industries

- Outdated, unreliable and inconsistent risk information without focus on strategic risks
- Inability to meet corporate objectives and stakeholders' oversight expectations
- A lot of effort to aggregate and report risk information
- Risk management practices and tools in subsidiaries were not standardized – collaboration was impossible
- High cost of control sub-optimal risk appetite, no use of analytics or continuous monitoring.





- Improved alignment to the objectives and strategy of the business
- Central management of financial, operational and compliance risks and controls across organization
- Increased integration and coordination among business, IT and compliance
- Sustainability of risk management process
- Effective top-down and bottom-up reporting

Thank you

Building a better working world

WIR